Case 4:25-cr-00137-YGR Document 33 Filed 04/29/25 Page 1 of 1

OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,)	Case No. 4: 24-MJ-71007 - MAG
Plaintiff, v.)))	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
TOBIAS SLOLLAY)	
Defendant(s).)	
Trial Act from 4124129 tontinuance outweigh the best interest	o 630 75 est of the public and th	and finds that the ends of justice served by the e defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S
See 18 U.S.C. § 3161	(h)(7)(B)(i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice. NORTH DISTRICT 6
defendants, the or law, that it is unrea	e nature of the prosecu sonable to expect ade	o [check applicable reasons] the number of FALIFO tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	-	he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	uled case commitment	sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	e preparation, taking i	conably deny the defendant the reasonable time into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of crimina paragraph and — base the time limits for a present of the sum of	I cases, the court sets ed on the parties' show reliminary hearing und time period for an ind	ng into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first ving of good cause — finds good cause for extending der Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for ictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED. 4/29/25		Br
DATED: The state of the state o	(DONNIA M. RVIII
		DONNA M. RYU Chief Magistrate Judge
	4//	
STIPULATED:		111
Attorney for	Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney